



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/821,464	04/09/2004	Ruth Amaru	SVL920060530US1	1072
49330 7590 03/09/2009				
DUKE W. YEE P.O. BOX 802333 YEE & ASSOCIATES, P.C. DALLAS, TX 75380				
EXAMINER				
FLEISCHER, MARK A				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3624				
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
03/09/2009		ELECTRONIC		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ptonotifs@yeciipaw.com

### Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/821,464

**Applicant(s)**

AMARU ET AL.

**Examiner**

MARK A. FLEISCHER

**Art Unit**

3624

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) MARK A. FLEISCHER.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Peter Manzo.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 03 March 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 12, 13 and 25 and other claims subject to 112, 2<sup>nd</sup> rejections.

Identification of prior art discussed: Ruiz.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: We discussed 101 issues and Examiner made recommendations. Also, 112, 2<sup>nd</sup> rejections were discussed regarding the formula and other related issues. The publication date of the Ruiz reference was raised. Examiner promised to look into it further and sent, via email some web links indicating this reference was presented in an Argentine symposium on software engineering (ASSE 2003).

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Mark A Fleischer/  
Examiner, Art Unit 3624

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an  
Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required